arXiv:1402.6298v1 [math.CO] 25 Feb 2014

A UNIFIED PROOF OF BROOKS' THEOREM AND CATLIN'S THEOREM

VAIDY SIVARAMAN

Abstract. We give a new proof of Brooks' theorem that immediately implies a strengthening of Brooks' theorem, known as Catlin's theorem.

All graphs in this note are simple and finite. Let G be a graph. The chromatic number of G is denoted by $\chi(G)$. The independence (stability) number of G is denoted by $\alpha(G)$. If X is a set of vertices of G, then $G\backslash X$ denotes the graph obtained from G by deleting the vertices in X. An n-coloring of G is a partition of $V(G)$ into n independent sets. We follow the notation and terminology of West [4].

Several proofs of Brooks' theorem appear in the literature, the most famous one being [2]. We begin by proving the result for triangle-free cubic graphs, and the general result follows from that by induction. Our method has the advantage of implying a result of Catlin [3], which is a strengthening of Brooks' theorem stating that every graph G, with $\Delta(G) \geq 3$ and no clique of size $\Delta(G)+1$, has a $\Delta(G)$-coloring in which one of the color classes has size $\alpha(G)$.

Lemma 1. *Let G be a triangle-free cubic graph. Then $\chi(G) \leq 3$.*

Proof. Choose an independent set I of size $\alpha(G)$ such that the number of odd cycles in $G\backslash I$ is minimum. Suppose $G\backslash I$ contains an odd cycle C. Let $x \in V(C)$. Consider the set S of all paths P starting at x and alternating between non-isolated vertices of $G\backslash I$ and elements of I subject to $V(G\backslash I) \cap V(P)$ being independent. Let P_0 be a member of S of maximum length. Let I' be the symmetric difference of I and $V(P_0)$. It is easy to check that I' is an independent set of size $\alpha(G)$. Since G is triangle-free, cubic, and I is an independent set of maximum size, no cycle in $G\backslash I'$ contains a vertex from $I \cap V(P_0)$. This means that every odd cycle in $G\backslash I'$ is an odd cycle in $G\backslash I$. But C is an odd cycle of $G\backslash I$ that is not in $G\backslash I'$, and hence the number of odd cycles in $G\backslash I'$ is strictly less than that of $G\backslash I$, a contradiction. Hence G *backslash*I contains no odd cycle, therefore, is bipartite, and can be colored with two colors. Giving all the vertices of I a new (third) color, we conclude that $\chi(G) \leq 3$. □

Theorem 2 (Brooks' theorem [1])**.** *Let d be an integer greater than 2. Let G be a graph with maximum degree d. Suppose that K_{d+1} is not a subgraph of G. Then $\chi(G) \leq d$.*

Date: February 25, 2014

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C07.

Key words and phrases. Chromatic number, independent set, Brooks' theorem, Catlin's theorem.

Proof. Induction on $|V(G)|$. In the base case G is a non-complete graph on $d+1$ vertices, and $\chi(G) \leq d$ in this case. Note that we may assume that G is regular. We consider two cases depending on whether or not K_d is a subgraph of G. Suppose that K_d is a subgraph of G. Let $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_d$ be pairwise adjacent vertices of G. Let $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d$ be the respective neighbors of vertices $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_d$ outside $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_d\}$. Using the induction hypothesis, we can find a d-coloring of $G \backslash \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_d\}$ such that not all of $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d$ receive the same color. (If $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d\}$ is independent, we have to add a suitable edge with endpoints in $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d\}$ to force the induction hypothesis to provide a coloring where at least two vertices of $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d\}$ have different colors.) It is easy to extend this to a d-coloring of G.

Suppose that K_d is not a subgraph of G. If $d > 3$, then consider a maximum independent set I in G. Since I is a maximum independent set, the maximum degree of a vertex in $G \backslash I$ is at most $d-1$. By the induction hypothesis, $G \backslash I$ can be colored with at most $d-1$ colors. By giving a new color to all the vertices in I, we see that $\chi(G) \leq d$. The case $d = 3$ follows from Lemma 1. In all cases, $\chi(G) \leq d$, proving the theorem. □

Let us call the above argument of extending a d-coloring of a graph with a clique of size d deleted to a d-coloring of the whole graph an extension argument. We now show that the above proof idea easily implies the following theorem of Catlin.

Theorem 3 (Catlin's theorem [3])**.** *Let d be an integer greater than 2. Let G be a graph with maximum degree d. Suppose that K_{d+1} is not a subgraph of G. Then there is a d-coloring of G in which one of the color classes has size $\alpha(G)$.*

Note that it suffices to prove the result for graphs G with $\Delta(G) = \chi(G)$. Indeed, for other graphs H, simply give a color to all the vertices in an independent set of maximum size, and color the rest of the graph with $\chi(H)$ colors.

Now we explain why our method of proof of Brooks' theorem implies Catlin's theorem. We will use the obvious fact that no independent set contains more than one vertex of any clique. Let G be a graph with $\Delta(G) = \chi(G)$. If G does not contain a $K_{\Delta(G)}$-subgraph, we appeal to Lemma 1 if $\Delta(G) = 3$, and if $\Delta(G) \neq 3$, we can give a color to the vertices of an independent set of maximum size, and color the remaining graph with $\chi(G) - 1$ colors (such a coloring exists by Brooks' theorem). Suppose G contains a $K_{\Delta(G)}$-subgraph. We will apply induction on the number of vertices. Let $d = \Delta(G)$.

Let $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_d$ be pairwise adjacent vertices of G. If one of the v_i's has degree less than d, we are done by induction and the extension argument. Let $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d$ be the respective neighbors of vertices $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_d$ outside $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_d\}$. Note that the a_i's need not be distinct but neither can they all be equal. If $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d\}$ is not independent, we are done by induction and the extension argument. Suppose $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d\}$ is independent. If $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d\}$ occurs in every independent set of maximum size in $G \backslash \{v_1, \ldots, v_d\}$, then $\alpha(G) = \alpha(G \backslash \{v_1, \ldots, v_d\})$, and we apply induction after adding a suitable edge with endpoints in $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d\}$. If $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d\}$ does not occur in every independent set of maximum size in $G \backslash \{v_1, \ldots, v_d\}$, we can add a suitable edge with endpoints in $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d\}$ to

force the induction hypothesis to provide a d-coloring of $G\backslash\{v_1, \ldots, v_d\}$ where at least two vertices of $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d\}$ have different colors and one of the color classes has size $\alpha(G) - 1$. This easily extends to a d-coloring of G, in which one of the color classes has size $\alpha(G)$.

Acknowledgements. *I am grateful to Prof. Douglas West who carefully read this short note and suggested several improvements in the presentation.*

References

[1] Brooks, R. L. (1941), On colouring the nodes of a network, Proc. Cambridge Philosophical Society, Math. Phys. Sci. **37**, 194-197.
[2] Lovász, L. (1975), Three short proofs in graph theory, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B **19**, 269-271.
[3] Catlin, P. A. (1979), Brooks' graph-coloring theorem and the independence number, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B **27**, 42-48.
[4] West, D. B. (2001), Introduction to graph theory, Prentice Hall, second edition.

Department of mathematical sciences, Binghamton University.
E-mail address: vaidy@math.binghamton.edu